

Advancing Precision Agriculture and Soil Health Research with AI: Collaborative Opportunities Katsutoshi (Toshi) Mizuta (toshi.m@uky.edu) State Scale and Beyond Agricultural and Environmental Informatics Laboratory Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky My CV 3-D Model Pedo-Econometrics Development ► Pedology ← Econom(etr)ics ← Math/Stats Mid-infrared (MIR) diffuse (Soil Science) reflectance spectroscopy Variable Selection (2,500-25,000 nm) spectroscopy (350~2,500 nm) Manageable Target Soil-/ Env. **Functions/Services** Inputs Uses data-driven (Inefficient Soil/Ecosystem 0.18 - 0.216883 optimization 0.21-0.30 Health Index approach (e.g., Data 0.49- 0.92 (Efficier Calculation Develop 3-D model Envelopment of historic rock/soil Analysis, DEA) Grunwald et al (2011) Index Scores monolith museum Soil C seq. capability index in Florida and Ecological health in Q. The most efficient soil C seq. capability county in KY? southeastern US (Mizuta et al. Q. What does a RS-based 3D-mapping of soil organic carbon look like in KY? 2021, 2022) Q. Adoption rates of soil health practices in KY? Remote Sensing (RS): Various Q. Adoption rates of precision agriculture technologies in KY? health and nutrient status for crops/grasses. satellites (e.g., SPOT, Landsat, Q. Land use/cover changes in Kentucky since 2007 Sentinel, Planetscope) Assess Test Apply Validate Small-Plot On-Farm Rep 3 Rep 1 Rep 2 **Develop the most profitable and sustainable** 28 100% 70% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 130% data-driven management practice 75lb 158lb 190lb 148lb 141lb 136 Q. Simulate the optimal in-season fertilizer and irrigation application? **Digital Soil Core** Q. How can we develop a data-driven management practice that enhances soil health, profitability for farmers, Variable-rate irrigation x EB 1681b 1807b 1888 1430 1300 1310 1330 133 sustainability, and scale it for adoption in Kentucky? 36b 35369 35369 119038 fertilizer x seeding rate with Q. Visualize botanical compositions of grasses at a farm scale, (391b 1521b 1641b 1621) various soil health practices botanical composition, detecting tall fescue, and analyzing **UAV** (hyperspectral camera) • • • etc the levels of endophyte and ergovaline toxicities using AI? 138b 149b 134b 61b 61b 11 Table. Evaluation of agronomic, economic, and Gain/loss (\$/ac) su 103bn, 91bn, 1112bn 1178bn 27 the trade of the t environmental benefits of satellite-based in-season -1061 to -361 7028 35261 35265 35269 35269 13026 -360 to -109 precision nitrogen management practices for corn data-intensive approaches, focusing on soil health practices -108 to -10 35%a: Granular 7098 35981 35981 35985 35985 13098 -9 to 10 35%b: 65%FNR b 1111b calb 1511b 1521b 1531b 1531b 1531b N application strate

- Q. The effects of moisture on prediction readings?



Analytical measurements (traditional lab methods) Develop a rapid, cheap and accurate method to diagnose soil Q. Prediction accuracy for plant available soil nutrients? Q. Standard protocol of spectral diagnosis for soil nutrients in KY? Understand the mechanisms of agroecological phenomena through

Q. Soil health = Yield?

Q. The effect of spatial heterogeneity of bulk density on laboratory-recommended fertilizer rates? Q. a mixed-effects, process-based, an artificial intelligence-based, or integral model to assess the effect of soil and topographic heterogeneity in space on yield under soil health practices (e.g., cover crop, no-till...etc)?

Q. The effect of various soil amendments on soil health indicators over space/time?





-		-	-					
N application strategy	Total N rate	Grain yield	Urea cost	UAN (32% N) cost	Net retur	n	Profit rank	
	1b/ac	bu/ac *	bu/ac *		*			
100% FNR ¹	144	202 a	\$49.96	\$0.00	\$1,159.00	а	1	
130% FNR	181	187 <i>a</i>	\$63.73	\$0.00	\$1,060.00	а	6	
35% FNR + 65% FNR	150	189 <i>a</i>	\$17.79	\$28.55	\$1,090.00	а	4	
35% FNR + CS	142	171 a	\$17.42	\$24.78	\$985.00	а	7	
35% FNR + Granular	151	190 <i>a</i>	\$17.73	\$29.13	\$1,091.00	а	2	
35% FNR + RS-ML	146	189 <i>a</i>	\$17.07	\$28.21	\$1,087.00	а	5	
70% FNR + CS	131	189 <i>a</i>	\$33.07	\$9.56	\$1,090.00	а	3	



Figure. Evaluation of the spatial profit gain/loss of remote sensing-based precision agriculture management in on-farm trials

Reference blocks