# Results of the KENTUCKY SOYBEAN PERFORMANCE TESTS—1967

J. F. SHANE, CHARLES TUTT, S. H. PHILLIPS, and J. W. HERRON



PROGRESS REPORT 173

#### UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY

Lexington

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Acknowledgment is made to the Owensboro Grain Company, Owensboro, and the Ellis Elevator Company and the Henderson Elevator Company, Henderson, for their cooperation in the soybean tests at Henderson; also to area agents and others who assisted in conducting the tests. Special acknowledgment is made to farmer cooperators Allan and Joe Toy, Henderson; Bun Hughes, Murray; Robert Sanger, Hickman, and Graham Duncan, Hopkinsville.

Lo	cation	Soil Type	pH	Phos- phorus	Po- tassium	Fertilizer Applied	Date Planted	Row Width
2. Pr 3. M 4. Hi 5. He	enderson inceton urray ickman opkinsville exington	Sharkey silt loam Huntington silt loam Grenada silt loam Robinsville silt loam Hagerstown silt loam Burgin silt loam	5.92 7.4 7.5 7.0  5.9	Low High Low High  High	Medium Low Low High  Medium	None None None 0-40-40 0-45-90	May 10 May 25 June 1 May 11 April 25 May 23	40" 40" 38" 38" 38" 40"

Laboratory.

of soybeans provided by the U. S. Regional Soybean

information on the performance of experimental strains formance of standard soybean varieties and to provide

Tests is to provide an estimate of the relative per-

The objective of the Kentucky Soybean Performance

RESULTS OF THE

KENTUCKY SOYBEAN

PERFORMANCE TESTS

ı

1967

cide tests, row-spacing tests and fertilizer tests.

Included in the testing program are herbi-

mated at 10,638,000 bushels. Production in 1966 was

Soybean production in Kentucky for 1967 was esti-

7,750,000 bushels and 5,726,000 bushels for the period

1967, 25 bushels for 1966 and 24 bushels for 1961-65.

Average yields per acre were 27 bushels for

1961-65.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

spaced 20, 30, and 40 inches apart. were 7, 8, and 10 viable seed per foot of row with rows with each entry in three plots rieties and experimental strains tests were planted date planted and row width are shown on page 2. Vafoot of row. Lexington. The testing locations, soil types, soil the major soybean-producing areas of the state and at 19 feet long. test results, pounds of N, P and K applied per acre, locations with individual plots being 4 rows wide and Soybean tests were conducted at five locations in In the row-spacing test the planting rates The seeding rate was 10 viable seed per (replications) at all

applied as preplant treatment and double disked immedapplied in water at the rate of 25 gal/A. Treflan was a constant pressure at 40 psi. boom sprayer. Chemicals were applied uniformly by using of heavy rains immediately after herbicides were applied. feet long. iately into the soil. and the herbicides were applied with a tractor mounted The herbicide test at Henderson was planted May 23 In the herbicide test the plot size was 4 rows 40 The test at Hickman was not harvested because All chemicals were

percent moisture. was harvested for yield. Plants were cut by hand and threshed with a small nursery thresher. The yield of the varieties is reported as bushels per acre at 13.0 A 16-foot section from each of the 2 center rows

Maturity Date

earlier (-) or later (+) than a standard variety. conditions. Maturity may also be expressed as days the leaves have dropped. Stems are also dry, under most This is the date when the pods are dry and most of

Lodging

down; 4 = all plants over considerably or 50%-80% down; all plants erect; 2 = all plants over slightly or a 5 = all plants down badly. few down; 3 = all plants over moderately or 25%-50% Lodging was based on a scale of 1 to 5: 1 = almost

Seed Quality

1 = very good; 2 = good; 3 = fair; 4 = poor; 5 = very poor. Quality was also based on a scale of 1 to 5:

Seed Size

100 seed Seed size is expressed as the weight in grams of

Purple Seed Stain

was stained. Development of the disease is apparently Gardner, is expressed as the percentage of seed which the fungus Cerospora kikuchii (T.Matsu and Tomoyaau) influenced by weather conditions existing during pod The amount of purple stain, a disease caused by

### RESULTS

Variety Trials

year summaries for Henderson, Hickman, Murray and sented in Tables 1-10. Performance data for the variety tests are pre-Tables 1, 3, 5, and 7 are three-

> one variety was outstanding in yield at all locations. Princeton. Tables 2, 4, 6 and 8 are annual summaries Lexington data are presented in Tables 9 and 10. No for the same respective locations. Hopkinsville and

certified seed were 45.3 and 43.2 bushels per acre respectively. Yields from a comparison of certified and non-

Weed Control Experiments

Alanap Plus, Lorox, Ramrod, Ramrod + Lorox and Vernam. of foxtail. Ramrod, Lorox, and Ramrod + Lorox gave the best control best results in 1967 were obtained with treatments of with 0 being no control and 10 complete control. The presented in Table 11 and are based on a scale of 0-10 Weed control ratings for the Henderson test are

reduced full season control of some weeds in plots treated with Amiben, Planavin and Treflan. Heavy rainfall during the growing season may have

may vary depending on location. Band treatment cost can be calculated from these prices. Henderson in 1967 are presented in Table 11. Prices Cost per acre on a broadcast basis and prices at

very weedy were very difficult to thresh and clean. plots with poor weed control. Check plots which were accomplished were much easier to thresh than beans from Beans from plots where good weed control was

Row-spacing and Fertilizer Experiments

spacing was higher yielding than the 20 inch or 40-inch attachment on the combine. Amsoy was significantly bad in the 20-inch rows and would have required a pick-up higher yielding than Hood. On the average, the 30-inch Henderson are presented in Table 12. Lodging was very Yield data for the row-spacing experiment at

cant difference in yield in 1966 between spacings, but presented in Tables 13 and 14. There was no signifi-Yield data for 1966-67 and 1967 at Princeton are

the two years. Clark 63 was significantly higher yielding than Amsoy

Clark 63 were slightly higher yielding than Amsoy for bad in Amsoy 20" and 30" rows in 1967. Hood and or Hood. Lodging was negligible in 1966 but quite

Date-of-Planting, Irrigation, and Variety Experiment units of both. with the application of 100 units of P2O5, K2O, or 100 No significant differences in yield were obtained

Planting study are presented below: yields on the non-irrigated plots. Data for the Date-of-The Wayne variety for the May 26 planting produced higher non-irrigated plots for the April 28 and June 27 planting. Yieldsof irrigated plots were significantly higher than were significantly higher than from the June 27 planting. in 1967. Yields from plantings made April 28 and May 26 Lexington. This experiment was conducted for one year at Very little moisture stress was observed

Three-year Summary of Soybean Varieties Grown at Henderson, Ky. Table 1. 1965-67

Variety	Yield, Bu/Acre	Date Matured	Lodg- ing*	Ht, In.	Seed Quality*	G/100 Seed*	Purple Stain,%
Wayne	38.7	9-21	2.2	40	2.7	19.0	
Shelby	34.4	9-22	2.7	40	2.5	18.0	4.3
Clark 63	40.5	9-27	1.9	43	2.2	15.4	1.0
Kent	39.7	10-2	1.6	42	2.2	17.4	0
Scott	36.3	10.8	2.5	44	2.5	14.7	0
Hill	38.0	new.	2.7	38	1.5	13.4	0
Dare	37.2	**	2.2	36	1.2	14.3	0
Dyer	35.3	**	2.1	33	1.2	15.8	0
Hood	29.9	**	2.9	39	1.4	14.6	0
Ogden	33.0	ww	2.9	43	2.0	15.6	0

<sup>\*</sup> See text for explanation of ratings. \*\*-Harvested after frost 1966-67

\*\*

Significantly higher yields for the earlier plantings

Significantly higher yielding between irrigated and non-irrigated for that date of planting.

June 27 May 26 April 28

47.5 32.4\*

46.9

35.9% 43.9 58.1

32.2 46.4\*\* 48.5\*\*

42.8 33.0

41.2\*

Planted Date

Irrigated

Irrigated Non-

Irrigated Irrigated

Ave.

Non

Kent

Wayne

## ERRATA

Ky. Progress Report 173
"Results of the Kentucky Soybean Performance Test - 1967"

page 6, line 22 should read:

April 28

51.2\*

46.9

42.8

48.5\*\*

Table 2. Annual Summary of Soybean Varieties Grown at Henderson, Kentucky 1967

Variety	Yield, Bu/Acre	Date Matured	Lodg- ing*	Ht, In.	Seed Quality*	G/100 Seed	Purple Stain,%
Wayne	45.5	9-19	2.0	44	2.0	18.5	0
Shelby	32.0	9-16	2.7	41	3.0	16.5	0
Clark 63	39.7	9-23	2.7	44	2.7	14.9	0
Kent	39.3	9-24	2.0	43	1.7	16.6	0 -
Scott	25.8	9-22	2.7	44	2.7	13.0	0
Custer	26.7	9-22	3.0	48	1.3	13.2	0
Hill	27.9	10-12	2.7	39	1.3	10.9	0
Dare	32.8	***	3.0	44	1.3	14.1	0
Dyer	30.5	**	2.0	37	1.3	14.4	0
Hood	25.5	**	2.7	40	1.3	13.0	0
Ogden	31.4	dede	2.3	48	2.0	14.8	0
Pickett	15.4	ww	2.8	40	2.0	13.3	0

LSD (.05) - 7.0 bu

\*\* Harvested after frost .

Table 3. Three-year Summary of Soybean Varieties Grown at Hickman, Ky. 1965-67

Variety	Yield, Bu/Acre	Lodg- ing*	Ht, In.	Seed Quality*	G/100 Seed*	Purple Stain,%
Clark 63	35.8	1.8	38	2.4	15.8	5.0
Kent	38.7	1.3	38	2.2	16.6	2.0
Scott	37.4	1.5	40	2.5	14.5	1.4
Hill	36.3	2.4	36	1.6	12.8	0.3
Dare	39.0	2.2	36	1.2	13.4	0.3
Dyer**	40.4	2.2	31	1.8	14.0	0.7
Hood	37.7	1.7	40	1.2	15.1	0.3
Ogden	38.3	1.8	39	1.9	15.1	0
Lee	34.2	2.2	38	1.6	13.1	0.3
Pickett	31.4	2.0	37	2.0	12.7	0
Davis***	39.8	3.0	43	1.8	14.0	0

<sup>\*</sup> See text for explanation of ratings.

<sup>\*</sup> See text for explanation of ratings.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Data from adjacent test.

<sup>\*\*\* 1966-67</sup> data only.

Table 4. Annual Summary of Soybean Varieties Grown at Hickman, Ky. 1967

Variety	Yield,	Date	Lodg-	Ht,	Seed	G/100	Purple
	Bu/Acre	Matured	ing*	In.	Quality*	Seed*	Stain,
Clark 63 Kent Scott Custer Hill Dare Hood Lee Ogden Pickett Davis	42.6 50.2 45.1 46.5 41.1 48.5 44.3 38.4 45.0 27.6 38.6	9-27 10-1 9-28 9-28 10-4 10-15 10-25 **	2.7 1.3 2.0 1.7 3.0 3.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.3	44 43 42 44 40 34 46 42 40 38 44	2.0 2.0 2.7 2.0 1.3 1.0 1.7 2.0 2.3 2.3	15.8 17.5 14.6 15.1 13.6 15.1 15.8 14.1 16.2 14.4	1.3 0 1.3 0 0 0 0 0 0

LSD (.05) - 6.3 bu

\* See text for explanation of ratings. \*\* Harvested after frost.

Table 5. Three-year Summary of Soybean Varieties Grown at Murray, Ky. 1965-67

Variety	Yield, Bu/Acre	Lodg- ing*	Ht, In.	Seed Quality*	G/100 Seed*	Purple Stain,%
Wayne	32.7	2.7	38	2.3	18.3	
Clark 63	32.4	1.8	42	1.8	16.3	1.0
Kent	35.6	1.5	42	2.0	19.5	1.0
Scott	29.1	1.9	40	2.0	15.7	1.0
Hill	33.7	4.0	34	1.4	15.5	0.7
Dare**	39.0	3.5	39	1.0	15.8	0.8
Hood	30.5	2.1	36	1.4	16.8	0.7
Ogden	30.5	2.7	40	1.9	17.5	0.7
Lee	31.5	4.5	37	1.6	14.7	0.7
Pickett**	31.0	2.7	37	1.4	14.2	0.7
Davis**	27.1	2.9	46	1.9	13.5	0

\* See text for explanation of ratings.
\*\* 1966-67 data.

Variety	Yield, Bu/Acre	Lodg- ing*	Ht, In.	Seed Quality*	G/100 Seed*
Wayne	33.7	3.0	34	3.0	18.0
Clark 63	34.3	2.3	42	2.0	16.5
Kent ·	43.0	1.3	42	2.0	21.1
Scott	37.0	2.0	44	2.0	15.9
Custer	31.4	2.7	50	2.0	16.5
Hill	41.0	3.0	37	1.0	16.8
Dare	42.3	3.0	38	1.0	16.1
Hood	31.4	2.3	36	1.3	14.6
Ogden	28.7	3.3	42	2.0	16.4
Lee	31.4	4.0	36	1.7	14.9
Pickett	29.5	3.3	34	1.7	13.9
Davis	21.5	3.7	48	2.0	12.8

LSD (.05) - 8.9 bu

Table 7. Two-and Three-year Summary of Soybean Varieties Grown at Princeton, Ky. 1965-67

Variety	Yield, Bu/Acre	Date Matured	Lodg- ing*	Ht, In.	Seed Quality*	G/100 Seed*	Purple Stain,%
Wayne**	35.4	9-17	1.8	39	2.7	20.1	1.5
Darekk	38.8		2.7	38	1.0	16.5	0.5
Lee**	32.3		3.9	41	2.4	17.2	0.5
Davis**	31.1		3.5	45	2.7	15.7	0.5
Pickett**	31.0		3.0	35	1.7	15.3	0.5
She1by	28.5	9-20	2.3	38	2.0	15.7	1.7
Clark 63	31.9	9-24	1.9	42	1.4	15.7	1.6
Kent	34.0	9-30	1.3	39	2.4	17.0	3.0
Scott	32.5	10-3	1.8	43	2.5	14.9	3.0
Hill	37.2	10-19	3.1	37	1.6	15.6	1.0
Hood	39.1	10-28	2.6	39	1.1	17.0	0.7
Ogden	35.6	10-29	2.6	43	1.9	17.2	0.7

<sup>\*</sup> See text for explanation of ratings

<sup>\*</sup> See text for explanation of ratings.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Two year data.

<sup>---</sup> Indicates harvest is generally after frost.

Table 8. Annual Summary of Soybean Varieties Grown at Princeton, Ky. 1967

Variety	Yield, Bu/Acre	Date Matured	Lodg- ing*	Ht, In.	Seed Quality*	G/100 Seed*	Purple Stain,%
Wayne	37.2	9-28	2.6	43	3	21	1
Shelby	31.8	10-2	3.6	42	2	18	2
Clark 63 ·	36.2	9-30	3.0	46	1	19	1
Kent	38.5	9-30	1.3	44	2	20	1
Scott	29.8	10-2	2.0	44	3	17	1
Hill	38.1	**	5.0	37	1	18	2
Dare	36.2	**	4.3	37	1	19	1
Hood	42.3	**	3.6	38	1	18	1
Ogden	38.2	**	3.6	43	2	20	1
Lee	31.7	**	5.0	45	3	19	1
Davis	22.7	**	5.0	46	4	16	1
Pickett	28.2	**	3.6	35	2	17	1

LSD (.05) - 11.8 bu

Table 9. Annual Summary of Soybean Varieties Grown at Hopkinsville, Ky. 1967

Variety	Yield, Bu/Acre	Lodg- ing*	Seed Quality*	G/100 Seed*	Purple Stain,%
Wayne	45.7	1	2.3	16.8	1.0
Shelby	43.4	1	2.5	17.6	8.0
Clark 63	45.8	1	2.3	15.5	1.0
Kent	48.0	1	2.0	18.0	3.0
Scott	43.2	1	1.7	13.9	1.0
Hill	49.3	2	1.0	13.6	0.6
Dare	43.4	2	1.0	13.9	0.5
Hood	51.0	2	1.0	14.1	0.6

LSD (.05) - 5.9 bu.

<sup>\*</sup> See text for explanation of ratings.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Harvested after killing frost October 11.

<sup>\*</sup> See text for explanation of ratings.

Table 10. Annual Summary of Soybean Varieties Grown at Lexington, Ky. 1967

	Yield,	Date	Lodg-	Ht,	Seed	G/100
Variety	Bu/Acre	Matured	ing*	In.	Quality*	Seed*
Wayne	42.6	10-6	2.3	44	1.3	18.0
Shelby	39.8	10-6	2.3	46	2.0	16.8
Clark 63	37.2	10-8	2.0	38	2.0	15.1
Kent	38.2	10-13	1.3	45	1.7	18.5
Scott	33.6	10-10	2.0	44	1.7	14.5
Custer	29.4	10-11	3.7	43	2.0	15.3
Hill	23.6	**	4.0	40	2.0	12.1
Dare	22.8	***	4.0	40	2.0	13.7
Hood	24.0	ww	3.7	43	1.7	11.6
Ogden	19.8	wk	3.3	52	2.0	12.0
Lee	15.3	**	4.0	38	2.0	12.7
Pickett	8.4	skok	2.7	40	**	skole
Davis	7.4	**	3.7	52	**	**

LSD (.05) - 5.1 bu

Table 11. Three-year, Two-year and Annual Summary of Herbicide Test, Henderson

	Herbicide				Weed R	ating*	Herbicide
	1b actual	_Yield-E	u per acr	e	1966-	June 21,	Cost \$/A
Herbicide	per acre	1965-67	1966-67	1967	1967	1967	1967**
Alanap Plus (Alanap +CIPC)	3.0 + 2.0	36.3	41.6	36.6	8.3	8.0	11.40
Amiben	3.0	33.4	36.6	30.3	6.8	5.5	15.22
Lorox	1.5	33.5	35.9	35.8	7.5	7.5	8.55
No Treatment	**	23.3	25.0	8.4	0	0	0
Treflan - disk, preplant	0.75	28.2	32.4	21.7	5.4	4.5	6.44
Vernam-Incorporated	3.0	30.9	35.8	25.6	8.1	7.0	8.50
Ramrod	5.0		41.1	38.8	8.6	9.2	13.07
Planavin - Incorporated	1.33	20 at		25.6		3.7	10.61
Ramrod-Lorox	2.5 + 0.75			36.1		8.0	10.80

LSD (.05)
\* See text for explanation of ratings. 8.2 bu

See text for explanation of ratings.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Harvested after killing frost October 12, seed still green.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Cost per acre broadcast, based on retail prices at Henderson.

Table 12. Soybean Row-spacing Test, Henderson, 1967

	The state of the state of	Section of a section		
Variety 20'	" Rows	30" Rows	20" Rows 30" Rows 40" Rows	Average
Amsoy 52	52.3ab	57.6a	48.3 bc	52.7ab
Clark 63 40	46.2 c	46.1 c	40.1 d	44.1 c
Hood 22	24.6 e	23.3 e	24.8 e	24.2
Average 41.0 d 42.3 cd 37.7 cd 40.4	41.0 d	42.3 cd	37.7 cd	40.4

icantly different,

Table 13. Two-year Row-spacing Test, Princeton, 1966-67

Variety	Bushels 20" Rows		40" Rosse
Variety	20" Rows 30" Rows		40" Rows
Amsoy	38.2	34.6	38.3
Clark 63	42.2	40.6	41.1
Hood	40.2	40.2	40.0
Average	40.2	38.4	39.8

Table 14. Annual Row-spacing Test, Princeton, 1967

* Yiel	Average	Hood	Clark 63	Amsoy	Variety
* Yields followed by the same letter are not signif-	P		63		У
owed b	36.2 c	36.2	37.0 bc	35.4 c	20" Bu
y the	C	36.2 c	bc		lows
same	38.8abc	41.5a	38.8abc	36.1 c	Bushels per acre* 20" Rows 30" Rows 40" Rows
lett	00		00	C	ws
er a	39.	42.48	40.	36.0 c	40"
re not	39.6abc	4a	40.5abc	0	Rows
sign:	38.1	40.0abc	38.7abc	35.8 c	Average
in i	T,	abc	abc	0	age

(To simplify information in this publication, trade names of some products are used. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not named.)

4M---2-68